# Dark Matter throughout cosmic history

# Vera Gluscevic



University of Southern California (USC)

2nd Global 21cm Workshop - McGill University - October 7, 2019.

### **Cosmic direct detection**



# **Cosmological probes of DM-baryon scattering**



### **Cosmological probes of DM-baryon scattering**



### **Cosmological probes of DM-baryon scattering**



#### Dark matter interactions suppress structure on small scales.



#### Dark matter interactions <u>suppress structure on small scales</u>.



### Observables



arxiv:1903.05140

### **CMB** power spectrum



# Planck limits on DM-proton scattering

[velocity-independent spin-independent interaction]



#### VG and Boddy, PRL (2018)

See also: Boehm+ (2002), Chen+ (2002), Dubovsky+ (2004), Sigurdson+ (2004), Dvorkin+ (2014), etc.

# Planck limits on DM-proton scattering

[velocity-independent spin-independent interaction]



#### VG and Boddy, PRL (2018)

See also: Boehm+ (2002), Chen+ (2002), Dubovsky+ (2004), Sigurdson+ (2004), Dvorkin+ (2014), etc.

## And beyond...



## And beyond...



Age of the Universe ~1000 years: less than 1 in 100 000 scatterings is with DM.



### What about millicharge?



Boddy, VG, + 2018

Kovetz, Poulin, VG, + 2018

(see also Slatyer+ 2018, Xu+ 2018)



### What about millicharge?



Boddy, VG, + 2018

Kovetz, Poulin, VG, + 2018

(see also Slatyer+ 2018, Xu+ 2018)



Planck is inconsistent with EDGES, if more than 0.5% of DM is millicharged.

### What about millicharge?



Boddy, VG, + 2018

Kovetz, Poulin, VG, + 2018

(see also Slatyer+ 2018, Xu+ 2018)



Planck is inconsistent with EDGES, if more than 0.5% of DM is millicharged.

#### NB: Bulk relative velocity matters at late time!

# Next-generation ground-based CMB (Simons Observatory, CMB-S4)

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

DM interactions do NOT look like other science targets, given well-measured CMB lensing.

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

11

# Next-generation ground-based CMB (Simons Observatory, CMB-S4)

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

CMB: independent of cosmology, robust to confusion with other physics.

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Near-field cosmology

### Galaxy surveys: SDSS, DES; Upcoming: LSST, DESI,...

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

Bullock and Boylan-Kolchin (2017)

### Near-field cosmology

### Galaxy surveys: SDSS, DES; Upcoming: LSST, DESI,...

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Big Question**:

Can we use small-scale structure to study fundamental physics?

M <b>∗=3x10<sup>9</sup> M</b> ⊚	M <sub>★</sub> =4x10 <sup>7</sup> M <sub>☉</sub>	M <sub>★</sub> =2x10 <sup>7</sup> M <sub>☉</sub> —
Pegasus	Sculptor ,	Phoenix
		•
M -6-408 M	M -4400 M	10
M*=0X10° M⊚	M*-4X10° M⊚	M*=2X10- M©
Draco	Eridanus II	Pictoris I
M <sub>★</sub> =4x10 <sup>5</sup> M⊚	M <sub>★</sub> =6x10 <sup>4</sup> M <sub>☉</sub>	M <sub>★</sub> =3x10 <sup>3</sup> M <sub>☉</sub> 200 pc

Bullock and Boylan-Kolchin (2017)

# Near-field cosmology

### Galaxy surveys: SDSS, DES; Upcoming: LSST, DESI,...

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Big Question**:

Can we use small-scale structure to study fundamental physics?

 $M_{\star}=3x10^{9} M_{\odot}$  \_  $M_{\star}=4x10^{7} M_{\odot}$  —  $M_{\star}=2x10^{7} M_{\odot}$ Challenges:

- Observational: smaller halos host fainter galaxies [completeness correction]
- Theoretical: baryonic physics and non-linear evolution [galaxy-halo connection]

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Limits from Milky Way Satellites

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Limits from Milky Way Satellites

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Caveats: holds for velocity independent scattering, cosmology-dependent,

### How does 21-cm fit here?

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

VG+, Astro2020 (2019)

arxiv:1903.05140

### How does 21-cm fit here?

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

VG+, Astro2020 (2019)

arxiv:1903.05140

### How does 21-cm fit here?

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Key points

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

- CMB already probes new parameter space and new paradigms; near-field cosmology is messier, but very promising [e.g. satellites].
- <u>Key for discovery</u>: comprehensive searches and joint analyses of all available data.
  - <u>To address</u>: non-linearities in non-standard cosmologies, frameworks for joint analyses of multiple observables, assessment of limitations and degeneracies in new data sets.