Applications of dynamical projection-induced polarimetry in global 21-cm measurement

Bang Nhan

Department of Astronomy University of Virginia NRAO Central Development Lab (CDL) Email: bnhan@nrao.edu













Global 21cm Workshop 2nd



McGill, Oct 7th-9th 2019

Motivations

- Constrain the foreground <u>empirically</u>, with minimal dependence on fitting models
- Improve <u>robustness & uniqueness</u> in signal extraction with statistical training sets of instrumental & observational systematics

Projection-induced Polarization Effect (PIPE) (aka Dynamical Polarimetry)



$$I_{\text{net}}(t_{\text{LST}},\nu) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} M_{11}(\Omega,\nu) I_{\text{src}}(t_{\text{LST}},\Omega,\nu) d\Omega}{\int_{\Omega} M_{11}(\Omega,\nu) d\Omega},$$
$$Q_{\text{net}}(t_{\text{LST}},\nu) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} M_{21}(\Omega,\nu) I_{\text{src}}(t_{\text{LST}},\Omega,\nu) d\Omega}{\int_{\Omega} M_{21}(\Omega,\nu) d\Omega},$$
$$U_{\text{net}}(t_{\text{LST}},\nu) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} M_{31}(\Omega,\nu) I_{\text{src}}(t_{\text{LST}},\Omega,\nu) d\Omega}{\int_{\Omega} M_{31}(\Omega,\nu) d\Omega},$$
$$V_{\text{net}}(t_{\text{LST}},\nu) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} M_{41}(\Omega,\nu) I_{\text{src}}(t_{\text{LST}},\Omega,\nu) d\Omega}{\int_{\Omega} M_{41}(\Omega,\nu) d\Omega},$$

Nhan+ 2019, ApJ



Conventional total-power = experiments

$$I_{\text{uncal}}(t, \nu) = \langle \widetilde{V}_X \widetilde{V}_X^* \rangle + \langle \widetilde{V}_Y \widetilde{V}_Y^* \rangle,$$
$$Q_{\text{uncal}}(t, \nu) = \langle \widetilde{V}_X \widetilde{V}_X^* \rangle - \langle \widetilde{V}_Y \widetilde{V}_Y^* \rangle,$$
$$U_{\text{uncal}}(t, \nu) = \langle \widetilde{V}_X \widetilde{V}_Y^* \rangle + \langle \widetilde{V}_X^* \widetilde{V}_Y \rangle,$$
$$V_{\text{uncal}}(t, \nu) = i(\langle \widetilde{V}_X \widetilde{V}_Y^* \rangle - \langle \widetilde{V}_X^* \widetilde{V}_Y \rangle).$$

Full Stokes

PIPE is <u>NOT</u> *intrinsic* polarization from foreground!!!

Why bother? "Isn't total-power alone enough?"

Extra handles on underlying systematics
 Simultaneous measurement → Uniqueness



Nhan+ 2019, ApJ & Tauscher+ 2018, ApJ (https://bitbucket.org/ktausch/pylinex & ARES)

 Help <u>constructing training sets</u> for each signal components (e.g., foreground, beam, electronics response, ionosphere, intrinsic polarization)

Cosmic Twilight Polarimeter (CTP) prototype



Nhan+ 2017, ApJ



Nhan+ 2019, ApJ

CTP – Network-theory based calibration



Two-port Network



• Transducer gain with S-parameters:

$$G_T(\nu) = \frac{(1 - |\Gamma_{\rm srg}|^2)(1 - |\Gamma_{\rm load}|^2) S_{21}^2}{|(1 - S_{11} \Gamma_{\rm srg})(1 - S_{22} \Gamma_{\rm load}) - S_{12} S_{21} \Gamma_{\rm srg} \Gamma_{\rm load}|^2},$$

Noise temperature with noise-parameters:

$$T_n(\nu) = T_{\min} + \frac{4NT_0(\Gamma_{src} - \Gamma_{opt})^2}{|1 + \Gamma_{opt}|^2(1 - (\Gamma_{src})^2)},$$

• <u>Caveat:</u> Both are functions of $Z_{source}(freq)$ - Depends on inputs! Instead, use S- & noise parameters (Network Intrinsic!) $\{T_{min}(\nu), \operatorname{Re}[\Gamma_{opt}(\nu)], \operatorname{Im}[\Gamma_{opt}(\nu)], N(\nu)\}$

CTP - Calibration procedures & pipeline



CTP low band – Result & Sim

Single day of cleanest data, duplicate and concatenate for FFT resolution





Nhan+ 2019, ApJ

Lessons learned

1)Tilting the beam at low lat.:

- Compromise beam smoothness
- Horizon obstruction



Strong RFI (Not surprising)

- Only few channels ~ 81 MHz
- Limited dataset
- Need better self-shelfing



CTP high band test (Summer-Fall 2019) Team: David Bordanave (UVA), Ellie White (Mashall U), BN, Rich Bradley (NRAO)



- Zenith pointing (No horizon effects & beam distortion)
 GBO
 - Clean band between 168-172 MHz
 - Passed RFI shielding test in anechoic chamber



0.001

10

- Uncalibrated, normalized to mean Stokes I
- Narrow band (~ 2 MHz)

Underway

- Develop better circuit models for calibrations
- Constrains detailed CEM models with ant beam maps
- Understand effects of intrinsic polarizations & spectral index
- Evaluate SVD with CTP-high band data

In-situ Beam Mapper (IBeaM) Platforms

ORBCOMM Satellites BN (Astro-UVA / NRAO)





Operational: • OG1 (**10/35**) • OG2 (**16/18**)

137-138 MHz

Drone

Krishna Makhija & Varundev Suresh Badu (EE-UVA)





IBeaM-ORBCOMM





$$P_{\rm ref} = B_{\rm ref} F$$

 $P_{\rm AUT} = B_{\rm AUT} F$

$$B_{\rm AUT} = P_{\rm AUT} B_{\rm ref} / P_{\rm ref}$$

Neben+ 2015

- Software-defined radio (SDR) based receiver system (*Configurable & Low cost*)
- RFI shielded & weather proof enclosure for site deployment
- Direct interface to antenna under test (AUT) at site



- Failing OG1 satellites (Longer run)
- Ununiform OG2 orbital coverage
- Limited in frequency
- Ideal for:
 - Spot check/beam diagnostics
 - Constraint for beam model @137 MHz

Excess Foreground Synchrotron Emission



$$T_{\rm B}({\rm K}) = 24.1 \pm 2.1 {\rm K} \, (\nu/310 {\rm MHz})^{-2.6 \pm 0.04}$$



- Synch. rad. dominates diffuse radio sky <0.5 GHz
- Observed synch bkgnd brighter than can be explained by diffuse high-latitude Galactic emission + extragal. srcs.

Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific, 130:036001 (21pp), 2018 March © 2018. The Astronomical Society of the Pacific. All rights reserved. Printed in the U.S.A.

https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aaa6b0



The Radio Synchrotron Background: Conference Summary and Report

J. Singal¹, J. Haider¹, M. Ajello², D. R. Ballantyne³, E. Bunn¹, J. Condon⁴, J. Dowell⁵, D. Fixsen⁶, N. Fornengo⁷, B. Harms⁸, G. Holder⁹, E. Jones¹, K. Kellermann⁴, A. Kogut⁶, T. Linden¹⁰, R. Monsalve^{11,12,13}, P. Mertsch¹⁴, E. Murphy⁴, E. Orlando¹⁵, M. Regis⁷, D. Scott¹⁶, T. Vernstrom¹⁷, and L. Xu¹⁶

GBT 310 MHz Feed & Receiver Development

Team: U. Richmond, VA - J. Singal (PI)

NRAO: J. Condon (Co-PI), R. Bradley (Co-PI), S. Srikanth, A. Symmes, P. Klima, K. Kellermann, C. Salter UVA: D. Bordenave, K. Makhija, B. Nhan

NASA: A. Kogut, E. Wollack



- Absolutely calibrated zero-level map
- GBT \rightarrow High resolution (FWHM < 2 Deg)
- Custom feed (low spillover)
- Custom receiver (absolute gain & noise calibration)
- Approved <u>24hr</u> of observing time for preliminary map





Take aways

- <u>Add values</u> to total-power measurement (1 vs 4 Stokes)
- Using <u>standard formulation</u> in network & noise theory for calibration
- Constrain systematics with detailed <u>models</u>
 <u>bounded by measurements</u>
- <u>Statistical & simultaneous</u> constraints on different signal components/eigenmode with SVD with the training sets

Supplementary slides

Example of training set: David's beam rotation for CTP high band







¹⁹ Data provided by the Center for Orbit Determination in Europe (CODE), fetched by the Python script radionopy provided by Prof. James Aguirre from the University of Pennsylvania, https://github.com/UPennEoR/radionopy.

²⁰ Attenuation maps are based on Sauer & Wilkinson (2008) data, acquired from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA): https:// www.swpc.noaa.gov/content/global-d-region-absorption-predictiondocumentation.

Space applications (DAPPER – Dark Ages Polarimeter PathfindER)

