SARAS 3

Ravi Subrahmanyan
On behalf of
Saurabh Singh, Jishnu Nambissan, Mayuri S,
Udaya Shankar,
B S Girish, A Raghunathan, R Somashekar, K S Srivani.
Who were all involved in design, building, system tests, algorithms, methods, analysis

Raman Research Institute





Evolution in antenna design -



- Scaled up in size by factor of two from SARAS 2
- Optimized for the band 50-100 MHz
- Resonant frequency beyond 100 MHz
- Short monopole antenna in the 50-100 MHz band
- Frequency independent beam pattern
- Shaped to have
- 1) Maximally smooth reflection efficiency
- 2) As high an efficiency as possible at 50 MHz



- Reflector disc radius 830 mm
 = cone slant height
- Cone angle 45 degrees
- WIPL-D simulation with water to a radius of 18 metres
- Water relative permittivity 80
- Conductivity 0.06 S/m





WIPL-D EM simulation

Beam pattern

Nulls at zenith and horizon Peak at 22 degrees elevation

1 short_sphere_v89i.RA: 50 MHz
2 short_sphere_v89i.ra1 100 MHz
3 short_sphere_v89i.ra1

75 MHz

WIPL-D simulation

For antenna with reflector plate 200 mm above water surface

Radiation Efficiency ~ 50%

For antenna with reflector plate 200 mm above water surface

WIPL-D EM simulation:

Total Efficiency

Propagation loss (in dB) of EM in fresh water

$$\alpha_p = 10 \log_{10} \left(e^{-2\alpha d} \right)$$

Where α at 75 MHz is

0.317 (for S = 0.015 S/m) 1.28 (for S = 0.06 S/m) 33.4 (for S = 4 S/m)

For S = 0.06 S/m (0.015 S/m) Attenuation to depth of 2 metres is -22.2 dB (-5.5 dB) 3 metres is -33.3 dB (-8.3 dB) 4 metres is -44.5 dB (-11.0 dB) 5 metres is -55.6 dB (-13.8 dB)

Plus attenuation from impedance mismatch at air-water interface.

Large velocity factor for water decreases ripple period for reflections off lake bottom

Depth of lake (metres)

Measurement of Reflection efficiency

- Using a Network Analyser
- Placed just below the antenna on water
- Real-time calibration by cycling through
 - Antennna
 - Open
 - Short
 - Matched termination
- Traces read out on ethernet
 over fiber to a laptop on shore

Virtex 6 FPGA 8192-point FFT

Receiver qualification tests

-237.0

Antenna replaced with OPEN & SHORT Data combined to give spectra corresponding to (OPEN+SHORT)/2 & (OPEN-SHORT)/2

Receiver qualification tests

Fitting out Maximally Smooth polynomials to the calibrated spectra

Residuals for RLC network spectra: RMS goes from 23 mK for 61 kHz resolution to 2.6 mK for 3.9 MHz resolution

(O+S)/2 residuals: 20 mK → 2.5 mK

(O-S)/2 residuals: 20 mK → 3.2 mK

Adopting sky model to be GMOSS + BD (with parameters from Bowman ++ Nature 2018)

2400 2200

2000

1800 از لا 1600 از لا 1400 از لا

1200

1000 800

600 L

Expect 100 mK peak-to-peak residuals

GMOSS without **BD**

Mock data using measured antenna reflection efficiency & smooth function fit.

GMOSS with BD

Limited to 50-87.5 MHz Omitting the FM band

Sufficient complexity in the BD signal to survive a smooth polynomial fit

Looking for an RFI-free lake!

Scaled cone built for 100-200 MHz band, using same analog and digital receivers, and on fresh water.