MarcFest April 2013 McGill

Anomaly Multiplets Regrisaruzation, And Solitons

Marc Fest McGill April 2013

40 years of friendship and 17 articles with Marc

14. **Gravitational Born Amplitudes and Kinematical Constraints**, with M. T. Grisaru and C. C. Wu, Phys. Rev. D12 397 (1975).

15. **Reggeization and the Questions of Higher-Loop Renormalizability**, with M. T. Grisaru and C. C. Wu, Phys. Rev. D12 1563 (1975).

16. **Gravitational Corrections to Lepton-Lepton Coulomb Scattering**, with M. T. Grisaru and C. C. Wu, Phys. Rev. D12 1813 (1975).

17. Background Field Method versus Normal Field Theory: One-Loop Divergences in S-matrix and Green's Functions for Yang-Mills Fields and Gravitational Fields, with M. T. Grisaru, and C. C. Wu, Phys. Rev. D12 3203 (1975).

18. Scale Dependence and the Renormalization Problem of Quantum Gravity, with S. Deser, M. T. Grisaru, and C. C. Wu., Phys. Lett. 58B 355 (1975).

27. **Supergravity and the S-Matrix**, with M. T. Grisaru and H. Pendleton, Phys. Rev. D15 996 (1977).

34. One-Loop Renormalizability of Pure Supergravity and the Maxwell-Einstein Theory in Extended Supergravity, with M. T. Grisaru and J. A. M. Vermaseren, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 1662 (1976).

37. **Renormalizability of Supergravity**, with M. T. Grisaru, Proc. Coral Gables Conference, 1977, Plenum Press 1977.

41. **Axial anomalies in Simple Supergravity**, with M. T. Grisaru and D. Z. Freedman, Phys. Lett. B71 377 (1977).

49. **Poincaré and Conformal Supergravity Models and Closed Algebras**, with S. Ferrara and M. T. Grisaru, Nucl. Phys. B138 430 (1978).

53. **Approaches to the Gravitational Spin 3/2 Axial Anomaly**, with M. T. Grisaru, H. Romer and N. K. Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. B140 477 (1978).

200. Lorentz Covariant Quantization of the Heterotic Superstring, with S. J. Gates, M.T. Grisaru, U. Lindström, M. Roc^{*}ek, W. Siegel and A. E. van de Ven, Phys. Lett. B225 44 (1989).

233. Loop calculations in two-dimensional nonlocal field theories, with M.T. Grisaru, Int. J. of Mod. Phys. A 7 5891 (1992).

235. Induced (N, 0) supergravity as a constrained Osp(N |2) W ZW N model and its effective action, with G.W. Delius and M.T. Grisaru, Nucl. Phys. B389 25 (1993).

237. **Solvable extensions of two-dimensional gravity**, with G. Delius, M.T. Grisaru, K. Schoutens and A. Sevrin, Proceedings Journées Relativistes 1992, Class. and Quan. Grav. 10 Supplement, S109.

244. **The WZWN model at two loops**, with B. de Wit and M.T. Grisaru, Nucl. Phys. B408, 299 (1993).

250. **The WZWN model at two loops and induced chiral actions**, with M.T. Grisaru and B. de Wit, proceedings Strings Conference 1993 at Berkeley, page 57, and in Trieste ,Proceedings on High-energy physics and cosmology, 1994, page 4.

ANOMALIES IN SUPERSYMMETRIC THEORIES

M.T. Grisaru

CERN, Geneva, Switzerland and

Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, USA

It was pointed out some time ago by Ferrara and Zumino¹⁾ that in a supersymmetric theory the axial current j_{μ}^{5} , the improved energy-momentum tensor $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ and the improved supersymmetry current S_{μ} can be identified with the components of a superfield V_{μ} , so that an intimate relation exists between them. At the classical level this superfield satisfies certain relations reflecting the conservation and trace relations (modulo mass terms)

$$\partial_{\mu} S^{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} \Theta^{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} = 0$$
 (2a)

$$\Theta_{\mu}^{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} \left(x_{\nu} \Theta^{\mu\nu} \right) = 0 \tag{2b}$$

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mu}S_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} \left(\mathcal{T}.\mathcal{X}S^{\mu}\right) = 0 \tag{2c}$$

We note that the improved supersymmetry current is obtained by adding terms to the Noether current so as to satisfy Eq. (2c) while maintaining Eq. $(1)^{1}$.

The form of the chiral current follows from the chiral properties implicit in Eqs. (4).

We look at one-loop matrix elements of the above quantities between the vacuum and states of the vector multiplet (λ, v_{μ}) . The anomaly of j_{μ}^{5} is the usual chiral anomaly⁶⁾, as obtained from the diagram of Fig. 1a. Only the spinor part of the current contributes.

The spinor current anomaly can be calculated from the diagrams in Figs. (1b), (1c) $(1d)^{7}$, while the trace anomaly is known $also^{5}$. One finds, with the external fields on shell:



Fig. 1 : Diagrams for one-loop chiral and supercurrent anomalies.

Marc has clarified several times long-standing problems and confusion by using new (and old) methods of regularization and computation. One such problem is the "anomaly puzzle".

Toni Rebhan, Robert Wimmer and I have studied the past 15 years the one-loop quantum corrections to the mass M and the central charge(s) Z of solitons: susy kinks, vortices, and N=2 and N=4 monopoles.

We have constructed a new regularization scheme for these systems (and more general systems) which gives BPS saturation ($M^{(1)}=Z^{(1)}$). The corrections to $Z^{(1)}$ looked like an anomaly, but the usual susy current, and thus also the central charge current, is conserved (free from anomalies).

For solitons, problems with $R_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ gauge in superspace

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{fix}} = \left(\bar{D}^2 v + \bar{D}^2 \frac{1}{\Box} \,\bar{\psi} \,\phi_{\text{vor}}\right) \left(D^2 v + D^2 \frac{1}{\Box} \,\psi \,\bar{\phi}_{\text{vor}}\right)$$

Following FZ who found for the N=1 WZ model $\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}J_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} = D_{\alpha}S$

one can begin with an "early easy" anomaly (the chiral anomaly in their case), and get the other anomalies from susy. Since $\#j_{\mu}$ is the conformal susy current, there is also a conformal central charge current, and the question arose:

Is $Z^{(1)}$ equal to the anomaly in a conformal central charge current?

We began with the susy kink in d=2. But then we moved to N=2 monopoles in d=4 and here we found problems with the N=2 anomaly multiplet. Our explicit calculations for various anomalies (chiral anomaly, susy anomaly) did not agree with what the currents of the N=1 formulation (Grisaru-West and Grisaru-Milevski-Zanon) predicted. We are led to the following conjectures:

Conjecture 1: The N=2 current multiplet and anomaly multiplet contain extra terms beyond those provided by the corresponding manifestly N=1 susy formulation.

Conjecture 2: The N=1 superspace results as they now stand, cannot be extended to a full N=2 superspace formulation.

BPS and anomalies for the susy kink.

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[(\partial_{\mu} \varphi)^2 + \bar{\psi} \partial \psi + U^2 + U' \, \bar{\psi} \psi \right]$$

The susy current $j_{\mu} = -(\partial \varphi + U)\gamma_{\mu}\psi$ transforms into the stress tensor and the central charge current $\delta j_{\mu} = -2T_{\mu}^{\ \nu}\gamma_{\nu}\epsilon - 2\zeta_{\mu}\gamma_{3}\epsilon$ with $\zeta_{\mu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu}\partial^{\nu}U$ where $\frac{1}{2}U^{2} = \frac{\lambda}{4}\left(\varphi^{2} - \frac{\mu^{2} + \Delta\mu^{2}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}$ $M^{(1)}\neq 0$ from $H = \int \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x}\varphi)^{2} + \ldots = \int \partial_{x}(\frac{1}{2}\varphi\partial_{x}\varphi) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi\partial_{x}^{2}\varphi + \ldots$

About the 1-loop quantum corrections to ζ_{μ} :

- Zero because total derivative? No.
- Zero after detailed calculation? Yes.

But $M^{(1)} \neq 0$. So where is $Z^{(1)}$?

Answer: the (1,1) susy WZ model in d = 1 + 1 is also a susy model in d = 2 + 1.

Go to 2 + 1 dimensions, keep the soliton in one dimension, and do dimensional regularization in the other dimension. (In dimensional reduction there is no space for the soliton). This preserves susy, but no need for evanescent terms etc...

$$\{Q^{\pm}, Q^{\pm}\} = H \pm (Z_x - P_y) \quad , \qquad \{Q^+, Q^-\} = P_x + Z_y$$
$$P_m = \dot{\varphi}\partial_m\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}\gamma^0\partial_m\psi \quad , \qquad Z_m = U(\varphi)\partial_m\varphi$$

So $Z_{reg} = Z_x - P_y$. Then < solitons | P_y | solitons > gives $Z_{reg}^{(1)}$!

Details:
$$(\partial_x + U'_K)\psi_+ = (\partial_0 - \partial_y)\psi_-$$

 $(\partial_x - U'_K)\psi_- = (\partial_0 + \partial_y)\psi_+$
 $\psi = \psi_0 + \int \frac{d^\epsilon \ell}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{k,\ell}}} \left[b_{k,\ell} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\omega+\ell} \ \phi_k(x) \\ \sqrt{\omega-\ell} \ is_k(x) \end{pmatrix} e^{-i(\omega t - \ell y)} + b^{\dagger}_{k,\ell} \ (c.c.) \right],$

$$\psi_{0} = \int \frac{d^{\epsilon}\ell}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \frac{1}{2|\ell|} \left[b_{0,\ell} \left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{|\ell| + \ell} \, \phi_{0}(x) \\ 0 \end{array} \right) e^{i\ell y - i|\ell|t} + b_{0,\ell}^{\dagger} \left(\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{|\ell| + \ell} \, \phi_{0}(x) \\ 0 \end{array} \right) e^{-i\ell y + i|\ell|t} \right]$$

where
$$s_k(x) = \frac{(\partial_x + U'_K) \phi_k(x)}{\omega_k}$$

The zero mode $\varphi_0(\textbf{x})$ becomes massless right-moving chiral domain wall fermions!

$$\begin{split} \langle P_y \rangle_{\text{fermions}} &= \\ \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^{\epsilon} \ell}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{\ell^2}{\sqrt{2\omega_{k,\ell}}} \underbrace{\left(|\phi_k(x)|^2 - |s_k(x)|^2 \right) dx} d^{\epsilon} y \\ \text{from index theorem or directly} : \quad \frac{2m}{k^2 + m^2} \end{split}$$

= $-\frac{m}{2\pi}$

Dimensional reduction and the kink

Cf Grisaru, Zanon, Cambridge 1985

 j^{μ} = as before. Problem: $\gamma^{\mu}j_{\mu}$ = 0 (+ explicit breaking). Solution: renormalization requires an evanescent counterterm for j^{μ} , which in turn gives the anomaly.

$$\mathbf{j}^{\mu} = \mathbf{\mathbf{j}}^{\mu} + \mathbf{\mathbf{j}}^{\star} = \mathbf{0} \text{ (From no tadpoles } \mu_{0}^{2} = \mu^{2} + \Delta \mu^{2} \text{)}$$

$$\mathbf{But} \quad \mathbf{\mathbf{j}}^{2} = \frac{U''(\varphi)}{2\pi} \frac{\hat{\delta}_{\mu}^{\lambda}}{\epsilon} \gamma_{\lambda} \psi = j_{\mu}^{\text{div}}$$

$$\mathbf{(From} \quad \mathbf{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{ren}} = -\kappa^{2} \gamma_{\mu} + \frac{2}{n} \kappa^{2} \hat{\delta}_{\mu}^{\lambda} \gamma_{\lambda} \text{)}$$

$$j_{\text{ren}}^{\mu} = j^{\mu} - j_{\text{div}}^{\mu} \quad \Rightarrow \quad -\gamma_{\mu} j_{\text{div}}^{\mu} = -\frac{U''(\varphi)}{2\pi}$$

Now use susy to get corrections to central charge:

$$\zeta_{\mu}^{\rm div} = \frac{\hat{\hat{\delta}}_{\mu}{}^{\lambda}}{\epsilon} \varepsilon_{\lambda\nu} \ \frac{\partial^{\nu} U'}{4\pi}$$

But this is finite!! Because

$$\hat{\hat{\delta}}_{\mu}{}^{\lambda}\varepsilon_{\lambda\nu} = \epsilon \,\varepsilon_{\mu\nu} + \hat{\hat{\delta}}_{\nu}{}^{\lambda}\varepsilon_{\lambda\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\hat{\delta}}_{\mu}{}^{\lambda}\partial^{\nu} \, U' = 0$$

Lesson: begin with "early easy" current. Then use susy to get corrections to higher currents.

Variation of $\# j_{\mu}$ gives $T_{\mu\nu}x^{\nu}$ and

 $j^{(\zeta)}{}_{\nu}{}^{\mu} = x^{\rho}\varepsilon_{\rho\nu}\zeta^{\mu}$: the conformal central charge current.

Since $\partial_{\mu} j^{(\zeta)}{}_{\nu}{}^{\mu} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \zeta^{\mu}$ we see that:

The anomaly in the conformal central charge current is equal to the finite one-loop correction to the ordinary central charge current.

Results for vortices:

N = 2 susy vortex in d = 2 + 1 from N = 1 in d = 3 + 1No divs in odd dims in dim. reg.

•Vanishing tadpole condition yields finite renormalization

 $\delta v^2 \text{ of } v_{\text{Higgs}}$: $Q + \cdots + X = 0$

•Difference of spectral densities for bosons and fermions $\rho(k^2)$ vanishes in this case, so $M^{(1)}$ due to δv^2 .

•Again $Z_{ren} = Z + P_y$, but now $\langle P_y \rangle = 0$ due to $\rho(k^2) = 0$.

•But the modes for the Higgs fluctuations get an extra phase

factor $e^{in\theta}$ from the long-range massless QED background gauge field for the vortex solution. And $Z = \int \partial_k (\varepsilon_{k\ell} \zeta_{\ell})$ with $\zeta_{\ell} = \ldots + \phi^{\dagger} D_{\ell} \phi$ yields $\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \eta^{\dagger} \partial_{\theta} \eta = 2\pi n \langle \eta^{\dagger} \eta \rangle_{r \to \infty} = 2\pi n \, \delta v^2$

So: no anomalies, but still $M^{(1)} = Z^{(1)} \neq 0$

Results for monopoles:

<u>N=2 case</u>: as kinks

• Z factors for $M_{cl} = 4\pi v_0/g_0$. Use renormalization theory for background field method.

• Again Z⁽¹⁾ from <P_y>. BPS holds

<u>N = 4 case</u>: expect $M^{(1)} = Z^{(1)} = 0$. Correct, but... Zero point energies = 0. But boundary terms \neq 0 and divergent! Ordinary renorm. of no help (all Z = 1), but extra-ordinary ren. does help:

$$j^{\mu} = (j^{\mu}_{\rm imp} - \Delta j^{\mu}_{\rm imp}) \mp (Z_{\rm imp} - 1)\Delta j^{\mu}_{\rm imp}$$

The improved currents are finite, but the improvement terms renormalize muliplicatively as composite operators.

Then BPS holds again.





19.10 cancel: These diagrams have the same structure, since the first has an extra propagator and an extra factor p from the operator matrix element, and opposite overall signs.

The first term on the left-hand side of (19.159) is unexpected, since it apparently vanishes in four dimensions. However, the fermion loop diagram is divergent, and in dimensional regularization, this introduces a factor 1/(2 - d/2). As a result, this diagram gives a nonzero contribution to the operator matrix element. In massless QED, the fermion loop diagram has the

$$= -i(k^2 g^{\mu\nu} - k^{\mu} k^{\nu}) \frac{4}{3(4\pi)^2} \left(\Gamma(2 - \frac{d}{2}) + (\text{finite}) \right).$$
 (19.160)

Then the complete expression for the third diagram in Fig. 19.10 is

$$\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} A_{\mu}(-k) \left(-2\frac{4-d}{4}\right) (k^2 g^{\mu\nu} - k^{\mu} k^{\nu}) \frac{-i}{k^2} \left(-ik^2 \frac{4}{3(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{2-d/2}\right) A_{\nu}(k).$$
his is of the form of (19.158) with (19.161)

This is of the form of (19.158), with

$$C = \frac{1}{12\pi^2},$$
 (19.162)

which is indeed the first β function coefficient in massless QED.

(

This discussion generalizes to QCD and other gauge theories. In a non-Abelian gauge theory, $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$ is given by the obvious generalization of (19.150) with the Abelian field-strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ replaced by the non-Abelian expression $F^a_{\mu\nu}$. The trace of $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$ is again given by

$$\Theta^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = -\frac{4-d}{4} (F^{a}_{\lambda\sigma})^{2}, \qquad (19.163)$$

plus terms that vanish by the equations of motion. In the background field gauge, the one-loop diagrams with the operator $\Theta^{\mu}{}_{\mu}$ inserted into the loop cancel as above. We saw in Section 16.6 that the two-point functions in this

$$\sim (\sum_{\mu = -i} (k^2 g^{\mu\nu} - k^{\mu} k^{\nu}) \left[\frac{-b_0}{(4\pi)^2} \right] (\Gamma(2 - \frac{d}{2}) + (\text{finite})), \quad (19.164)$$

where $\beta(g) = -b_0 g^3/(4\pi)^2$. Following through the logic of the previous paragraph, we again find the result (19.158) with the identification of C as the first 3 function coefficient.

Anomalies in N=2 SYM N=2 Multiplets

 $D^{ij}\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{L}^{ij} \qquad D^{ij} = D^{i\alpha}D^j_\alpha = D^{ji}$

 ${\cal J}=a\,{
m tr}\,War{W}$ (real a)

$$\mathcal{L}^{ij} = \left(D^{ij} \operatorname{tr} W^2 + \bar{D}^{ij} \operatorname{tr} \bar{W}^2 \right)$$



General questions

1) Is there path integral derivation of anomalies for proper graphs?

2) What if the anomaly contains part of a field equation?

References to our work on susy solitons

 No saturation of the quantum Bogomolnyi bound by two-dimensional supersymmetric solitons By A. Rebhan, P. van Nieuwenhuizen. Nucl.Phys. B508 (1997) 449-467.

 Topological boundary conditions, the BPS bound, and elimination of ambiguities in the quantum mass of solitons
 By Horatiu Nastase, Misha A. Stephanov, Peter van Nieuwenhuizen, Anton Rebhan.
 Nucl.Phys. B542 (1999) 471-514.

 Mode regularization of the SUSY sphaleron and kink: Zero modes and discrete gauge symmetry By Alfred Scharff Goldhaber, Andrei Litvintsev, Peter van Nieuwenhuizen. Phys.Rev. D64 (2001) 045013.

Local Casimir energy for solitons
 By Alfred Scharff Goldhaber, Andrei Litvintsev, Peter van Nieuwenhuizen.
 Phys.Rev. D67 (2003) 105021.

5) One loop surface tensions of (supersymmetric) kink domain walls from dimensional regularization By A. Rebhan, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, R. Wimmer. New J.Phys. 4 (2002) 31. 7) The Anomaly in the central charge of the supersymmetric kink from dimensional regularization and reduction By A. Rebhan, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, R. Wimmer.

Nucl.Phys. B648 (2003) 174-188.

8) Quantum corrections to the mass and central charge of solitons in (1+1)-dimensions By A.S. Goldhaber, A. Rebhan, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, R. Wimmer. hep-th/0211087.

9) Comment on `One loop renormalization of soliton quantum mass corrections in (1+1)dimensional scalar field theory models' (Phys. Lett. B542 (2002) 282 [hep-th/0206047] By A. Rebhan, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, R. Wimmer. Phys.Lett. B552 (2003) 17-20.

10) Nonvanishing quantum corrections to the mass and central charge of the N = 2 vortex and BPS saturation

By A. Rebhan, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, R. Wimmer. Nucl.Phys. B679 (2004) 382-394.

11) On the nature of the anomalies in the supersymmetric kink By Kazuo Fujikawa, Anton Rebhan, Peter van Nieuwenhuizen. Int.J.Mod.Phys. A18 (2003) 5637. 12) A New anomaly in the central charge of the N=2 monopole By A. Rebhan, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, R. Wimmer. Phys.Lett. B594 (2004) 234-240.

13) The Casimir effect for SUSY solitons By Anton Rebhan, Pieter van Nieuwenhuizen, Robert Wimmer. hep-th/0401127.

14) Quantum corrections to mass and central charge of supersymmetric solitons By Alfred Scharff Goldhaber, Anton Rebhan, Peter van Nieuwenhuizen, Robert Wimmer. Phys.Rept. 398 (2004) 179-219.

15) New developments in the quantization of supersymmetric solitons (kinks, vortices and monopoles)By Anton Rebhan, Peter van Nieuwenhuizen, Robert Wimmer.Braz.J.Phys. 34 (2004) 1273-1287.

16) BPS saturation of the N=4 monopole by infinite composite-operator renormalization By A. Rebhan, R. Schofbeck, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, R. Wimmer. Phys.Lett. B632 (2006) 145-150. 17) Quantum mass and central charge of supersymmetric monopoles: Anomalies, current renormalization, and surface terms By Anton Rebhan, Peter van Nieuwenhuizen, Robert Wimmer. JHEP 0606 (2006) 056.

18) Boundary terms in supergravity and supersymmetry By Peter van Nieuwenhuizen, Anton Rebhan, Dmitri V. Vassilevich, Robert Wimmer. Int.J.Mod.Phys. D15 (2006) 1643-1658.

19) Perturbative Quantum Corrections to the Supersymmetric CP**1 Kink with Twisted Mass By Christoph Mayrhofer, Anton Rebhan, Peter van Nieuwenhuizen, Robert Wimmer. JHEP 0709 (2007) 069.

20) Quantum corrections to solitons and BPS saturation By A. Rebhan, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, R. Wimmer. arXiv:0902.1904 [hep-th].

22) One-loop results for kink and domain wall profiles at zero and finite temperature By Anton Rebhan, Andreas Schmitt, Peter van Nieuwenhuizen. Phys.Rev. D80 (2009) 045012.